



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,174	07/11/2003	Jong-Jin Lee	1293.1799	2990	
21171	7590 06/15/2006		EXAMINER		
	ALSEY LLP	GOMA, TAWFIK A			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ON, DC 20005	2627			
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
	Offi-	Offic Action Summary		10/617,174		LEE, JONG-JIN			
	Offic			Examiner		Art Unit			
				Tawfik Goma		2627			
Period fo		ING DATE of this commu	nication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS nsions of time n SIX (6) MONTI period for reply re to reply withi reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE IN THE INTERPOLATION OF A STATE OF THE INTERPOLATION OF THE INTERPOLAT	MAILING DA is of 37 CFR 1.13 imunication. statutory period willy will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS 6(a). In no event, ill apply and will ex cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. tely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status									
1)[	Responsiv	ve to communication(s) fil	led on						
· · ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)							e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Clai	ms							
4)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) _	are subject to restri	iction and/or	election req	uirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	•							
9) 🔲	The specif	ication is objected to by th	ne Examiner	•					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant n	nay not request that any obje	ection to the d	drawing(s) be l	neld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replaceme	ent drawing sheet(s) includin	g the correction	on is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath o	r declaration is objected t	to by the Exa	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U	.S.C. § 119							
,	☐ All b)[	lgment is made of a claim ☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		. •		-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
		lication from the Internati	•	•		o in this National	Stage		
* 5		ached detailed Office action		*		d			
						<b>-</b>			
Attachmen	t(s)								
		ces Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Summary				
		rson's Patent Drawing Review ( sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  Notice of Informal P		O-152)		
	r No(s)/Mail [				6) Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the amendment filed on 4/6/2006.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The attachment to the information disclosure statement filed 7/12/2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because a copy of a foreign office action is not considered appropriate content of an IDS under 37 CFR 1.98. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any resubmission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al (US Patent 6822936) in view of Masuda et al (US Patent 6501712).

Regarding claim 1, Ono discloses a method of discriminating a type of disc (fig. 2), comprising: detecting a time (Ta, Tb, fig. 3) corresponding to a distance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/617,174 Page 3

Art Unit: 2627

surface of the disc to a data recording layer of the disc using light reflected during a focusing operation (2030, fig. 2 and col. 7 lines 24-33); and discriminating the type of disc by comparing the detected time and a reference value (col. 7 lines 33-37). Ono fails to disclose where the method comprises stopping an operation of a motor rotating a disc. In the same field of endeavor Masuda et al (US Patent 6501712) discloses a disc discrimination method wherein the method includes the step of performing the detection while the disc rotation is stopped (S1, fig. 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disc discrimination method taught by Ono by stopping the rotation of the disc while detecting the signal as taught by Masuda. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to stop the rotation or the disc while detecting the signal in order to eliminate the effects of laser light damage to the disc (See Masuda col. 6 lines 28-35). Detecting the signal while the disc rotation is stopped is also obvious in order to eliminate the effects of rotation noise on the detection signal.

Regarding claim 2, Ono further discloses wherein the detection of the time corresponding to the distance comprising detecting the time using a focusing error signal detected during the focusing operation (fig. 3A, 3b).

Regarding claim 3 and 5, Ono further discloses wherein the discrimination of the type of disc comprises discriminating between a CD disc type and a DVD disc type (2030, fig. 2 and col. 7 lines 43-48).

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Ono further discloses wherein the discrimination of the type of disc comprises determining the disc as a CD disc type when the detected time is greater than the reference value and as a DVD disc type when the detected time is less than the reference value (2030, fig. 2 and col. 7 lines 43-48).

Regarding claims 7 and 13, Ono discloses an apparatus for discriminating a type of disc (fig. 1), comprising: a motor rotating a disc (1140, fig. 1); a pickup detecting light reflected from the disc (1020, fig. 1); and a system controller performing a control process of enabling a focusing operation on the disc (1090, fig. 1) and discriminating the type of disc according to a result of detecting a time corresponding to a distance from a surface of the disc to a data recording layer of the disc using a light reflected during the focusing operation on the disc (Ta, Tb, fig. 3 and col. 7 lines 33-37). Ono further discloses a driving circuit to control a focusing operation (1100, fig. 7) and an amplifier for converting the signals into electrical signals (1030, 1050, fig. 1). Ono fails to disclose wherein the system controller stopping an operation of the motor in a disc type discrimination mode. In the same field of endeavor Masuda et al (US Patent 6501712) discloses a disc discrimination apparatus wherein the disc rotation is stopped prior to detecting the signal (S1, fig. 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disc discrimination apparatus taught by Ono by stopping the rotation of the disc while detecting the signal as taught by Masuda. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to stop the rotation or the disc while detecting the signal in order to eliminate the effects laser damage to the disc (see Masuda col. 6 lines 28-35). Detecting the signal while the disc Art Unit: 2627

rotation is stopped is also obvious in order to eliminate the effects of rotation noise on the detection signal. Further in regard to claim 13, Ono does not specifically disclose an RF amplifier that amplifies the signal but it is obvious that the signal is amplified in order to generate the focus error signal as taught by Masuda (25-27, fig. 1). It is obvious to amplify the detection signal in order to properly calculate the focus error signal.

Regarding claim 8, Ono further discloses an amplifying unit producing a focusing error signal based on a light signal output from the pickup (1050, fig. 1), wherein the system controller utilizes the focusing error signal to detect the time (1090, fig. 1 and fig. 3). Ono does not specifically disclose that the focus error generating unit amplifies the signal but it is obvious that the signal is amplified in order to generate the focus error signal as taught by Masuda (20-27, fig. 1). It is obvious to amplify the detection signal in order to properly calculate the focus error signal.

Regarding claim 9, 11 and 14, Ono further discloses wherein the system controller discriminates the type of disc between a CD disc type and a DVD disc type (2030, fig. 2 and col. 7 lines 43-48).

Regarding claim 10, 12 and 17, Ono further discloses wherein the system controller determines the disc as a CD disc type when the detected time is greater than a reference value and as a DVD disc type when the detected time is less than the reference value (col. 7 lines 43-48).

Regarding claim 15, Masuda further discloses a servo controller (14, fig. 6), wherein when the disc drive is in a disc discrimination mode (fig. 5), the system

Art Unit: 2627

controller (39, fig. 6) stops the operation of the motor via the servo controller and controls the pickup to perform the focusing operation on the disc (S1, fig. 5 and col. 4 lines 6-9).

Regarding claim 16, Masuda further discloses a driving circuit (14, fig. 1) stopping the operation of the motor, wherein the servo controller drives the pickup and the driving circuit when an instruction to stop the operation of the motor and the focusing operation of the pickup is received from the system controller (S1, fig. 5 and col. 4 lines 6-19).

Regarding claim 18, Ono in view of Masuda does not specifically disclose wherein the reference value is determined as a time value of 75 ms. However, Ono does disclose that the predetermine thickness value for a DVD is 1.2mm and for a CD is .6mm (col. 7 lines 9-13) and that the predetermined value should between the two thicknesses so that they can be discriminated (col. 7 lines 43-47 and 2030, fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine the predetermine value to be 75ms through experimentation. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have a predetermined time of 75ms as a time between the two thickness values (0.6mm and 1.2mm) in order to properly discriminate the detected time differences (see Ono col. 7 lines 43-47).

Regarding claims 19-21, Ono discloses a method of discriminating a type of disc in a disc drive (fig. 2), which comprises a disc (1010, fig. 1), a servo controller (1100, fig. 1), a spindle motor (1140, fig. 1), a pickup (1020, fig. 1), and a system controller (1090, fig. 1), the method comprising performing the focusing operation on the disc

Application/Control Number: 10/617,174

Art Unit: 2627

(2010, fig. 2); detecting a time corresponding to a distance from a surface of the disc to a data recording layer of the disc using an amount of light reflected on the disc and an FE signal (2020, fig. 2 and Ta, Tb, fig. 3); and comparing the time with a reference value (2030, fig. 2); wherein if the detected time is greater than the reference value the disc is determined to be a CD disc type (fig. 2), or if the detected time is less than the reference value the disc is determined to be a DVD disc type (fig. 2). Ono fails to disclose outputting a control signal to a servo controller to turn on the pickup while turning off the spindle motor. In the same field of endeavor, Masuda discloses a disc discrimination method that includes the step of turning on (S2, S3, fig. 5) the pickup (9, fig. 1) through the servo controller (36, fig. 1) while turning off (S1, fig. 5) the spindle motor (13, fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method taught by Ono with the step of turning on the pickup and turning off the motor as taught by Masuda. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to stop the rotation or the disc while detecting the signal in order to eliminate the effects of laser light damage to the disc (See Masuda col. 6 lines 28-35). Detecting the signal while the disc rotation is stopped is also obvious in order to eliminate the effects of rotation noise on the detection signal.

Page 7

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/6/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments that Ono et al (US 6822936), herein Ono, fails to disclose detecting a timing corresponding to a distance from a surface of a disc....and discriminating the type of disc by comparing the detected time with a reference value, is

Page 8

Art Unit: 2627

not persuasive because Ono clearly discloses detecting a timing (Ta, Tb, fig. 3a, 3b) that corresponds to a distance from the surface to a recording layer (col. 7 lines 24-33). Ono further discloses discriminating the disc by comparing the time with a reference value (col. 7 lines 34-37).

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tawfik Goma whose telephone number is (571) 272-4206. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,174 Page 9

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tawfik Goma